UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/573,867	03/29/2006	Yoshiaki Mitsui	01488P00200US	7050	
	7590 09/26/200 Katz, Clark & Mortim	EXAMINER			
500 West Madison Street Suite 3800 Chicago, IL 60661-4592			TRINH, MINH N		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3729	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/26/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/573,867	MITSUI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Minh Trinh	3729			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Au</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-8 and 12 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 9-11 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access that any objection to the composition of the compositi	relection requirement. r. epted or b)□ objected to by the B				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-		• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	animor. Note the attached Cines	7.00.011 01 101111 1 0 102.			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/29/06.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/573,867 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Applicant's election of species ID (claims 1-12) in the reply filed on 8/8/28 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Thus claims 13-25 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. The election was made dated 8/8/08. It is noted that, claims 1-12 readable on a first aspect invention as indicated in the specification paragraph 0014 which appears to reflect the elected species IA rather than the ID, however, it is applicants responsibility to identify the species as well as the listing of claims related to each species, etc., (refer to the previous Action, paragraph 1 entirely, and additionally refer to MPEP Section 808.01(a) for the species restriction requirements.
- 2. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) is required in this application because drawings appear to be informal. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Furthermore, Figures 62A-62B should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Objections

3. Claims 5 and 6 are objected to because of alternative dependent formats it is not known whether these claims depend on claim 1 or claim 2. in formulating for the rejection the Examiner position is that claim 5 and 6 are depend on claim 1 and the claims will be rejected accordingly.

what being referring as in a caulking manner (claim 1, lines 8 and 12).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 1-8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mitsui (4438558) in view of Akutsu et al (6936949).

Mitsui discloses a method of manufacturing a laminated stator core, comprising the steps of:

forming a band-shaped yoke core piece 11 having a shape that a yoke of the laminated stator core is developed in a straight line and having concave connection portions in the inner circumferential edge thereof by punching a metal plate (see Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 14-25); forming a laminated yoke body 20 by winding and laminating the band-shaped yoke core piece in a spiral shape and coupling the laminated band-shaped yoke core piece (see Fig. 1); forming a magnetic core piece 19 having a convex connection portion at the base end thereof by punching a metal plate (see Fig. 1); forming a laminated magnetic body as 28 by laminating and coupling a predetermined number of the magnetic core pieces to each other as shown in Fig. 6); and coupling the laminated yoke body 20 and the laminated magnetic body 28 to each other by winding a coil around the laminated magnetic body and then inserting the convex connection

portions into the concave connection portions (see Figs 6 and 9). Regarding the coupling and interconnection in a caulking manner. The Akutsu et al discloses such see the discussed at col. 7, lines 50-67. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the Akutsu's teaching as described above onto the invention of Mitsu in order to obtain an assembly by using the available techniques, The motivation for this combination can be found at col. 7, lines 60-65.

Limitation of claims 2-8 and 12 appear to be met by the combination teachings above. Further, regarding the stretching of the band shaped yoke core, this is no new since it is inherently that stamping and pressing would result in stretching (see Akutsu et al., col. 5 lines 1-16, etc).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 9-11 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (571)

272-4569. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (571) 272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

mt

9/24/08

/Minh Trinh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3729